



Scoping sustainable land sector economies in the North

Kamaljit K Sangha and Jeremy Russell-Smith Darwin Centre for Bushfire Research, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, NT



Darwin Centre for Bushfire Research Research Institute for Environment and Livelihoods





Structure

- Background of pastoral land use in northern Australia
- Ecological economic analyses of typical pasture systems
- New opportunities Ecosystem Services based economies

Data sources for pasture analyses

 Pasture capability and carrying capacity analyses



Tropical Grassland Society of Australia Occasional Publication No. 5

Pasture capability using expert advice



Source: Tothill and Gillies (1992), with minor modifications (for categorising Ribbongrass and Black Spear grass - M, applying expert opinion)

MLA Financial assessments: Key points (McLean et al. 2014)

- "Northern Australia is over grazed and environmental capital is used up..."
- "Only 20% businesses are sustainable, and this percentage is falling over time"
- "Abysmal herd productivity"



Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zI5IE6T6shk

Our analyses for focal area >600mm rainfall in northern Australia:



- Earnings Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) = gross profit- total business expenses
- EBIT reflects the business profit, independent of its financing
- Averages for a median-sized businesses (~70%)
- Long term ABARES data 2001-2012

Earnings Before Interest and Tax (\$/yr)

(for a median-sized pastoral business, 2001-2012)



Long-term debt (2001-2012)



Earning After Interest Before Tax (EAIBT) (2001-2012, a median sized pastoral business)



Very significant environmental costs!

Long-term ecological impacts:

- Soil loss and land degradation (loss in production)
- Water resources
- Biodiversity
- GHG emissions etc.

"...the extend to which **environmental capital** is substituting for financial capital is also unknown." (McLean et al. 2014, pg 11)

Need for solutions and diversified land sector economy

- Ecosystem services-based economies
 - carbon economy
 - payments for environmental/land management services
 - the nature-based tourism (potential value \$3B/yr, while gross profit in pastoral enterprises ~\$600m/yr in North Australia)

Greenhouse gas emissions

(2000-2009)



Conservation estate

0.5^o Grid cell for IPAs, Nature reserve, Wetlands, Areas of high erosion potential, Australian Wildlife Conservancy, Imp. Bird areas, etc.)



Diversification opportunities: Land-sector based Ecosystem Services/carbon economies

- Area of conservation estate (high values)
 >500,000km²
- Costs of managing are roughly ~\$300m/yr
- <u>Carbon</u>
 - GHG emissions ~7.5 M t CO_{2-e} /yr
 - Abatement potential ~2.5 M t CO_{2-e}/yr

- \$20 - 30m/yr

Interconnected land (eco)systems and peoples' well-being framework



hanges with spatial scal

Key message

- Accounting for marketable and non-marketable benefits and costs has enabled us to
 - Assess the **net benefits** of pastoral land use, conservation and savanna burning projects
 - Focus on enhancing cultural, social and environmental benefits to people, critical for future development objectives
 - <u>Enhance People's well-being</u> (Development vs.
 Economic Growth)

Questions?